## APPENDICES

#### APPENDIX I

#### EVOLUTION AND DESIGN

Many have expressed the opinion, that the "Argument from Design" in proof of the Existence of a God, which Paley was the first to popularise, and which I have here attempted by familiar examples to adapt to the comprehension of the young, has become weakened, if not wholly invalidated, by the recent theory of Evolution.

According to this theory, now accepted by most philosophers, being powerfully supported by well-established facts of science, the Earth and its contents did not come into their present state of existence by a series of separate and distinct, or, so to speak, arbitrary acts of creation, by so many fits and starts of creative energy, but are the results of certain natural forces, acting upon matter according to fixed laws, causing gradual changes and developments in living things, and, by slow degrees, during the course of countless ages, transforming the simplest into the most complex

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Paley is by no means original in his "Argument from Design." Cicero stated the argument in these words: "Whoever thinks that the wonderful order and incredible constancy of the heavenly bodies are not governed by an Intelligent Being, is himself void of understanding. For shall we, when we see an artificial machine, a sphere or a dial, acknowledge at first sight that it is a work of art and understanding, and make any doubt that the heavens are the work not only of reason, but of an Excellent and Divine Reason?"—De Natura Deorum, II. 38.

<sup>2</sup> Part I. chap, i.

and varying forms of life, till the present climax was reached; and that climax itself no final climax, but itself, like many that preceded it, a starting point for a gradual decline of some, and for an equally gradual

higher development of other forms of life.

If this theory be true, "every organic being has a place in a chain of events. It is not an isolated, a capricious fact, but an unavoidable phenomenon. It has its place in that vast, orderly concourse which has successively risen in the past, has introduced the present, and is preparing the way for a predestined future. From point to point in this vast progression, there has been a gradual, a definite, a continuous unfolding, a resistless order of evolution. But in the midst of these mighty changes stand forth immutable the laws that are dominating over all." 1

But if this theory of Evolution be true, if a slow and gradual transformation from one living form to another—a transformation obedient to fixed law—is, in our belief or mental vision, to take the place of that sudden calling forth of life, which we have hitherto associated with the idea of Creation, what, it is asked, becomes of the "Argument from Design"? Can a living thing be said to have been designed, if it arose in the ordinary course of events, if its coming into life was not only not specially designed, but was even an unavoidable event?

I would answer, that the "Argument from Design" is vastly intensified in force if the theory of Evolution be true. For if it be true, as the Evolutionists tell us, that all living creatures have gradually descended from a low organic form known as protoplasm, acted upon by forces which have ever remained subject to the same invariable laws, what must be said of the Origin of such protoplasm, such force, and such law, as could produce such results as the living creatures which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prof. Draper's "Conflict between Religion and Science."

people our world? Are not the marks of design all the more striking, if, with a foresight which the human mind can scarcely grasp or realise to the faintest degree, the matter, force, and law were so ordained, countless ages ago, as to produce, without intervention in the interval (as the Evolutionists would have us believe), a world teeming with all forms of life, as we see it now?

I would maintain, that if the doctrine of Evolution be true (and much yet remains to be proved before it can be regarded in any other light than that of a highly probable theory), the scheme of primal creation is thereby immeasurably ennobled, that the presence of Design is ipso facto proved-design of a kind so farsighted, so multifarious, so full of numberless nascent and unborn conditions of life, each productive of countless forms of life, actual and potential, that the mind, contemplating the huge gap between the protoplasmic form and the complex vertebrates of to-day, quails beneath the burden of the developmental idea; and we feel that language is poor and weak, nay, powerless, since DESIGN is the strongest word we have to cover the far-sighted Creative Intention, which started into life a world of germs, that, after countless ages, could produce the wondrous world of life now surrounding us!1

1 Darwin concludes his "Origin of Species" with these words: "There is a grandeur in this view of life with its several powers having been originally breathed by the Creator into a few forms or into one; and that, while this planet has gone cycling on according to the fixed law of gravity, from so simple a beginning, endless forms most beautiful and most wonderful have been, and are being, evolved."

It is remarked by Sir John B. Byles ("Foundations of Religion," page 26) that, in the latter part of Voltaire's life, the argument from design was that which most impressed the philosopher, and seems to have removed from his mind not only all doubt, but all fear of ever doubting again: "J'admets cette Intelligence Suprême, sans craindre que jamais on puisse me faire changer d'opinion. Rien n'ebranle en moi cet axiome-tout ouvrage démontre un ouvrier."

#### APPENDIX II

## LIMITS OF FAITH AND INQUIRY 1

FAITH, but not credulity. Where shall we draw the line between the two? Where does faith leave off and credulity begin? What shall he that has faith believe, and what shall he discredit? When shall Reason bend its head to Faith, and how shall it, without abdicating its sway? These are questions which affect every religion, and ours perhaps more closely than any, because our religion can afford inquiry.

Let us, then, attempt to define the limits, on the one hand, beyond which no religion should claim our belief; and, on the other hand, the limits beyond

which no logic should dare to tread.

No religion should tax our faith to such an extent as to ask us to believe in an incongruity. Any religion that would tell us that, by one of its miracles, a part became greater than the whole, or that two and two made five, or that a thing was right and wrong at the same time, or that past time would come back again, would be wholly unworthy of belief; for such statements would involve moral impossibilities, incongruities, contradictions in terms.

But perhaps the reader may ask why we take such pains to demonstrate what every rational person must see to be a truism. We shall show that we are not contending with a mere shadow.

There is a religion which represents that there is a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reprinted, with alterations, from "Sabbath Readings."

Deity, consisting of three distinct personages acting independently of each other, but yet forming one Deity, one and indivisible. Of course, any rational man would say that a being cannot be *one* being and three beings at the same time; that if a being be indivisible, it cannot consist of three independent parts; that the whole theory is a contradiction in terms.

But those who profess the creed which propounds this remarkable doctrine tell us, in reply, that we cannot reason upon such a matter, and that men only require faith to believe in it.

We answer that though credulity can make a man believe a contradiction in terms, faith cannot; faith is the credit which the mind lends willingly to that which has been tested and found trustworthy; while credulity is the blind surrender of mind and reason to the untried scheme, or dreamy phantasy, or senseless theory, or cloudy mystery.

Why does the child have faith in his parents, and believe what they tell him? Because he has had experience of their kindness and of their love. He trusts them because he always finds them true to him. Childlike faith is not a blind faith, as some would maintain. It is a faith born of experience; and it is the

stronger, the stronger its origin.

When Abraham left his native land, his kindred, and his father's house, at the bidding of God, was it blind faith that dictated obedience? Was it not rather the strong belief in the mission that was before him, the strong abhorrence of the idolatry of Haran, the strong confidence in the God who had saved him from the flames of the fire-worshippers, that made him believe in the Lord, so that "it was accounted to him for righteousness"? His faith was not blind credulity. It was belief, the offspring of experience. It had fed

on the broad pastures of reason, and drunk from the

deep wells of thought.

And even with Abraham, the father of the faithful, faith required to be fed and nursed. "Lord God," he exclaimed, when he had been told that his seed would possess the land of Canaan, "whereby shall I know that I shall inherit it?" and God solved his doubts in a vision. Later vet, when a son was promised to him in his old age, he fell upon his face and laughed, and his wife exclaimed, "Shall I of a surety bear a child?" scarcely believing such an improbable event. God asks him, "Is anything too hard for the Lord?" And, still later, when he feared lest with the sinners of Sodom his kinsman Lot might be destroyed, and seemed to question the Divine justice in the words, "Shall not the Judge of all the earth do right?" he shows that his faith even then was not quite complete.

But when the promise is fulfilled, and in his old age the longed-for son is born, and the prospect of a multitudinous progeny dawns upon him, we see a change. His faith is complete. Steadfast in that faith, he binds his son on Mount Moriah, for he knows that the command of the All-just, the All-merciful Ruler of the Universe must have been prompted by some wise and

holy purpose.

Later yet, when he sends away his servant to seek a wife for his son from amongst his own kindred, he is confident that God will favour his mission; and, addressing the trusty Eleazar, he says, "The Lord God of Heaven, who took me from my father's house, and from the land of my kindred, and who spake unto me and sware unto me, saying, Unto thy seed will I give this land, He shall send His angel before thee." Abraham's faith is perfect. It had grown with a natural growth; experience had strengthened it; and, in his old age, his faith in God was ripe.

Let us now turn from the early Pentateuch history to the Mosaic Code itself, and we shall there see that the same standard of experience is set up as the only trustworthy basis of belief. Moses points out to the people in the following words the test whereby the false prophet may be detected: "When a prophet speaketh in the name of the Lord, if the thing follow not, nor come to pass, that is the thing which the Lord hath not spoken, but the prophet hath spoken it presumptuously." Belief in a prophet was not to be that mere mental effort which some call faith; it was to be founded upon some fact within the experience of the believer.

But though the revelations of prophecy are to be thus tested by evidence and experience, we are not to allow ourselves to be led away to believe in that which is repugnant to reason, and at variance with the divine command. We are told,<sup>2</sup> "If there arise among you a prophet or a dreamer of dreams, and he giveth thee a sign or a wonder, and the sign or the wonder come to pass whereof he spake unto thee saying, Let us go after other gods which thou hast not known, and let us serve them, thou shalt not hearken unto the words of that prophet or that dreamer of dreams, for the Lord your God proveth you to know whether ye love the Lord your God with all your heart and with all your soul." <sup>3</sup>

The fulfilment of the prophecy or portent, then, is not to be the only test. There may be jugglery or chicanery; even the prophecy may verily be fulfilled, or the sign and the wonder may truly take place; but if the prophet bring a strange religion "which thou hast not known," a religion full of mystery and incon-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Deut. xviii. 22. <sup>2</sup> Deut. xiii. 1-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The line of argument and biblical illustrations here given are to a certain extent coincident with those in Chapter xiv. But it is thought desirable not to omit them in this reprint.

gruity, a religion inconsistent with the behests of God,

such a prophet is not to be believed.

No matter, then, if at the foundation of a new creed its exponent or prophet gave signs and wonders which came to pass. Even assuming (which we do not grant) that those alleged miracles were historically true, we should not be justified in obeying him, when he bids us "follow after other gods," whom we neither know

nor comprehend.

That God, who is unchangeable, did not, through Moses, in one day make a covenant with His people, and through that people with the whole earth, revealing His will. His behests, and His attributes, to abrogate and repeal that covenant on another day; substituting for a clear, simple, and comprehensible religion, a religion which is all mystery, all incongruity, credible only by excessive credulity. The revelation was not given to be revoked by a new covenant at a future epoch of the world's history. True, it did not reveal everything to our finite senses. It did not solve at once every problem in nature, for "the secret things belong to the Lord our God"; but those things which it did reveal are the eternal heritage of our race; they are clear, credible, comprehensible, even to our children; they "belong to us and to our children for ever, that we may do all the words of God's Law." 1

Thus far we have endeavoured to define the limits beyond which no religion should claim our belief. Let us now seek to discover the limits beyond which no

reasoning should dare to tread.

When and where is Reason to stand still, and hand us over to the guardianship of Faith? Logic must not travel alone into the regions of the unseen, the illimitable, the eternal. In matters of religion, logic may deal only with what we see, and feel, and know, found-

Deut. xxix. 29.

ing all its reasonings upon axioms known and indisputable. Nor may we in our thoughts and reasonings about God, His ways and works, judge of Him and them by our own petty human standard; "for My thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways My ways, saith the Lord." 1

Nor may we waste our mental energies in vain attempts to reconcile conflicting points, which in this life never will be reconciled.<sup>2</sup> What is the use, or where our right, to seek to reconcile the foreknowledge of God with the free-will of man, or any other such conflicting questions? What right have we to institute a suit between the two, and then to seek in vain to reconcile the suitors?

Predestination and free-will! Free-will we think we understand; but when we trace volition to the brain, and ask how free-will acts, all certain knowledge ends. We know the brain gives impulse to the nerves and muscles; but how, in what manner, no mind can comprehend. We think we have free-will; but find volition modified by circumstance of time and place, weather, health, and fancy, and a thousand trifles which we would scarcely own.

Predestination! What mind can fathom to its depth the meaning of Divine foreknowledge, defining that one word Omniscience? Omniscience! knowledge of all events to all eternity, knowledge, too, extending back, and back, and back to that eternity, which is past and gone! Whose mind can grasp this one idea, even the shadow of omniscience? And yet men talk so glibly of predestination and free-will, and seek to reconcile the two!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Isa. lv. 8.

<sup>2 &</sup>quot;Man is not born to solve the problems of the Universe, but to find out where the problem begins, and then to restrain himself within the limits of the comprehensible. His faculties are not sufficient to measure the activities of the universe; and an attempt to explain the outer world by reason, from his narrow point of view, is a vain endeavour."—Goethe.

On the fatal rock of attempted reconciliation, many have wrecked their faith. The busy brains of shallow reasoners work hard to reconcile. They twist and turn the facts, distorting truth, till truth appears a falsehood. To their shallow minds all is beautifully reconciled and deftly fitted; but thoughtful men are not so satisfied. They see religionists build up religion on shifty quicksands of specious quibbles. "Is this religion?" they ask; and their questions end in doubts, their doubts in unbelief.

A sad result. Not that we must therefore suppress reason and underrate its powers. But reason must not proudly rear its head, and deem itself antagonist to faith. It is in truth a marvel. In all nature, reason has no parallel; and yet the mightiest effort of the greatest human mind must be of petty insignificance in the sight of Him who rules all mind and matter. Perhaps the infant's soul in heaven knows more than Newton ever knew on earth; perchance, transplanted to the realms above, the idiot's soul set free, may look down upon the master-work of masterminds on earth; and in its high pre-eminence of thought, may scorn to laugh at those small minds which laughed to scorn his own.

Such thoughts as these should check our small conceits, our overweening pride of reason which would weigh all things in the balance of logic, and seek to make religion, virtue, and futurity demonstrable like Euclid's elements. There must be a point where reason must bend its head to faith, and bid it take up the thread of a half-finished argument.<sup>1</sup>

Reason shows us not infallibly the aim and end of life and living things. The great problem—why do we live and die?—reason will never solve with cer-

<sup>1 &</sup>quot;A man who would be all head is as great a monster as he who would be all heart. The wholly sound man is both."—Herder.

tainty. All it can do is to lead us to surmises from known facts and ascertained analogies; to prove to us what we all feel true without such proof: that there is a God, and that that God is good and works for our good. Then faith, not credulous and blind belief, but faith built upon the sure foundation of experience of God's mercies, tells us all the rest; tells us of the future we all hope to gain, and of the immortality we all hope to inherit.

Here Reason bends its head to Faith without abdicating its sway. It says, "So far, no farther can I guide you. Those dizzy heights of future, that dark abyss of past, no human mind can penetrate. Eternity, infinity, omnipotence, are only words to men. Through those broad plains of the illimitable ask me not to lead you. But you may read the future from the past. The sun which rose to-day will rise again to-morrow; and so the God who always has been good, tender, and loving to His creatures, will be the same to you for ever. Act well and righteously, and await the end with trustful, loving faith."

Wise men will learn to wait. We have not long to wait. It needs no mighty strain on faith to feel and know that when the soul returns to Him from whom it came, all problems will be solved, all doubts removed without the adventitious aid of those misguided and misguiding folks who seek to reconcile.

Till then let Truth remain. Seek not to hide the fact. You cannot stay the progress of discovery, nor put a skid upon the wheels of science; and even if you could, the *truth* would yet remain. The truth is our heritage. The "things which are revealed belong to us, and to our children for ever."

#### APPENDIX III

#### THE TITHE 1

"Will a man rob God? Yet ye have robbed Me. But ye say, Wherein have we robbed Thee? In tithes and offerings" (Mal. iii, 8).

THERE is this remarkable inconsistency in the practice of our religion, that while some of the Divine Commands are most scrupulously obeyed, others are totally

neglected and ignored.

No more flagrant example of a neglected precept could be adduced than the law of Tithe. We have no excuse for forgetting it, for we read it in our synagogues three times a year; the precept is enjoined over and over again in the most solemn terms, and the prophets denounce those who neglect this command. And yet how few, nowadays, give tithe? Indeed, many who read this will be startled at hearing that it is at all a religious duty; a few may have heard of tithe as some sort of a burden on property like a rate or a tax; but most will think it some old and obsolete custom, belonging to an age long passed away; while some really pious people will complacently persuade themselves that it may be neglected with impunity now, since we are exiles from the Holy Land.

The words of the prophet quoted as a text will show in a sufficiently strong light the nature of the obligation involved in this important law. In Israel's palmy days, the tithes took the place of rates and taxes. Every one was bound to bring the tenth of his

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Reprinted from "Sabbath Readings."

produce for the support of those who had no means—the Levites (who had no inheritance) and the poor. The first poor-law was made at Sinai, and an assessment was made then and for all time. It declared, "At the end of three years, thou shalt bring forth all the tithe of thine increase the same year, and shalt lay it up within thy gates. And the Levite (because he hath no part nor inheritance with thee), and the stranger, and the fatherless, and the widow, which are within thy gates, shall come and shall eat and be satisfied." <sup>1</sup>

In those days, there was no workhouse; but poverty, as well as property, had its rights. The poor had the right to live, not by starvation doles, which make life only a lingering death, but by the open-handed gift of sufficient charity. The poor-law of Sinai proclaimed: "The poor shall never cease out of the land, therefore, I command thee saying, 'Thou shalt open thine hand wide unto thy brother, to thy poor, and to thy needy, in thy land." 2

But the institution of the Tithe possesses even a more remote antiquity than the Mosaic Code. We find that Abraham gave Melchizedek, the king-priest, tithe of all he possessed,<sup>3</sup> and that Jacob, in his prayer at Bethel, promised God, "Of all that Thou givest me, I will surely give the tenth to Thee." In those early days there was no sacrificial system requiring support, nor was there any elaborate state-establishment needing maintenance; so the tithe given by the patriarchs must have been bestowed on the poor alone.

The Mosaic law of tithe, however, was of a threefold character. One tithe was devoted to the service of the tabernacle or temple, and was given to the Priests and Levites for the maintenance of the stateestablishment. It comprised "the tithe of the land,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Deut. xiv. 28, 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Gen. xiv. 20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Deut. xv. 11.

<sup>4</sup> Gen. xxviii, 22.

whether of the seed of the land or of the fruit of the tree, the tithe of the herd or of the flock," 1 and this

tithe was declared "holy unto the Lord."

Then, there was the yearly tithe of which the giver was also the recipient. We read in Deut. xiv. 22, 23: "Thou shalt truly tithe all the increase of thy seed, that the field bringeth forth year by year," "the tithe of thy corn, of thy wine and thine oil, and the firstlings of thy herds and of thy flocks." This tithe was not to be given away; but every man had to take it to Ierusalem, and was there to eat it, "that thou mayest learn to fear the Lord thy God always." Or, if he lived at a distance from the Holy City, he was told to carry thither the money-value of the tithe, and to expend it for whatsoever his soul lusted after, and he was to eat this before the Lord God, and rejoice, he and his household. This tithe made Jerusalem not only a great religious centre, but also an important commercial centre; for one tenth of the whole produce of Palestine had thus to be brought to the Holy City, and was either there consumed, or it changed hands in the ordinary course of commerce; and the Israelite was thus taught to spiritualise his worldly prosperity and enjoyments, by eating the tithe of his produce "before the Lord."

Lastly, there was the tithe of the third year. Every third year the Israelite had to bring forth the tenth part of his profits, and in that same year to lay it up in reserve for the service of the poor. "At the end of three years thou shalt bring forth all the tithe of thine increase the same year, and shalt lay it up within thy gates: And the Levite (because he hath no part or inheritance with thee), and the stranger and the fatherless and the widow which are within thy gates shall come and shall eat and be satisfied; that the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lev. xxvii. 30, 32.

Lord thy God may bless thee in all the work of thine hand which thou doest." 1

In that remarkable book of the Apocrypha, Tobit, we find the three tithes thus practically described: "The first tenth part of all increase I gave to the sons of Aaron, another tenth part I sold away, and went and spent it every year at Jerusalem, and the third I gave unto them it was meet." <sup>2</sup>

Our Ritual Code, too, in distinct terms, enacts the law of tithe, declaring that "a man should give in charity at least one tenth of his income." <sup>8</sup>

As we have no longer Priests, nor Levites, nor sacrifices, we cannot bring the first tithe. As we have no Jerusalem, wherein we can rejoice before the Lord, we cannot bring the second tithe. But the privilege of the third tithe, the tithe of the third year, the tithe of the poor, yet remains to us, and it is with this command that we are at present chiefly concerned.

The tithe of the third year was to be brought by every Israelite, and laid up within the gates of his city. It was to be a reserve fund, on which the needy were to draw as occasion required. All who were in distress, the stranger, the widow, and the orphan, were to partake of its bounty, and "eat and be satisfied." There were storehouses for the tithe, and we find that there were times when it was collected in such abundance that even a special organisation was needed for its distribution.

And when it was duly stored, each man bringing tithe made a solemn declaration before God that he had truly assessed his profit, and had given the exact tenth to the poor, and he craved the blessing of the Almighty as a reward for his faithful stewardship.

The Bible, and especially the Pentateuch, is very

Deut. xiv. 28, 29.
 Tobit i. 7.
 Yore Deah, 249, § 1.
 Chron. xxxi. 5, 6, 12; Neh. xiii. 12.

sparing in imposing prayer-formulas; but, with regard to the tithe, the Law prescribes a special prayer to accompany it, so lending to the observance a significance sufficiently indicative of its importance. And this is the prayer: "I have brought away the hallowed things out of mine house, and also have given them to the Levite, and unto the stranger, to the fatherless and to the widow, according to all the commandments which Thou hast given me. Neither have I transgressed Thy commandments, neither have I forgotten them. I have not eaten thereof in my mourning, neither have I taken away aught thereof for any unclean use, nor given aught thereof for the dead; but I have hearkened to the voice of the Lord my God, and have done according to all that Thou hast commanded me. Look down from Thy holy habitation, from heaven, and bless Thy people Israel, and the land which Thou hast given us, as Thou swarest unto our fathers, a land that floweth with milk and honey." 1 No more powerful declaration could be imagined than that contained in this prayer. It says in plain language: "Inasmuch as I have fulfilled Thy command by giving truly tithe to the poor, so fulfil Thy gracious promise, and grant us the blessing of plenty!"

How the blessing was fulfilled, all readers of Sacred History know well. Difficult though it may be for the modern traveller to conceive the present arid plains and rocky crags of Palestine ever to have been otherwise than they now are, we all know that in the days of Israel's greatness the Holy Land was truly a land flowing with milk and honey. But it was the tithe that brought the blessing. "Bring ye all the tithes into the storehouse," said the prophet Malachi, "that there may be meat in Mine house, and prove me now herewith, saith the Lord of Hosts, if I will not open

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Deut. xxvi. 13, 14, 15.

you the windows of Heaven, and pour you out a blessing, that there shall not be room enough to receive it." <sup>1</sup>

In these days of materialism and infidelity, people find it difficult to realise any connection between the giving of tithe and a good harvest; and these words of the prophet, if spoken now, would probably fall on deaf ears. But the same prophet spoke those startling words which we have cited as our text, and they possess a force even greater than the promise of a miraculous harvest. For they appeal to our sense of honour. We all pride ourselves on our sense of honour. The idea of robbing any one is repugnant to every right-minded man. Who would think of robbing even his enemy? Who would dream of robbing his best friend? Then "shall a man rob God?" Yet, says God, "ye have robbed Me, in the tithes and offerings."

If we could but appreciate the full purport of this imputation—an imputation which holds good against many, nay, most of us, in these our times—how different would be the standard of our liberality to the poor, and how different would be the condition of suffering humanity! To God we owe all we have, be it much or little. He gives it to us with generous hand, but bids us return Him one tenth, only one tenth, for His poor, for the widow, the orphan, and the stranger: and yet that small tenth we withhold from Him! Shall a man rob God? Yet we do rob Him when, instead of giving, freely and fully, the fair tithe of our income, we bestow our niggardly gifts on the poor, and call that charity.

There is much cant abroad in our times, and there is no more rampant specimen of this cant than the cant of social economists, with reference to the question of charity. They would organise charity to such

refined perfection that, in the end, there would be no occasion to give, for there would be no one to receive. They would only give to the "deserving poor," to those who can help themselves. All other gifts would be contrary to the rules of social economy; and God forbid that, in helping the poor, they should break the laws of supply and demand! They would stamp out pauperism by stamping out the poor. That one and the same poor man should receive a little aid from two sources, is hateful to their souls; that two charities should do like work, like two rivers running in parallel courses and fertilising the same soil, is sacrilege in their sight. They are charitable, but their charity is an abstract idea, and it is so much cheaper to talk charity than to practise it.

But, as our religion is the religion of action, so is our charity the charity of action; and the dreams of sociologists will never stamp out pauperism, nor stem the overflowing tide of poverty. The true cause of chronic pauperism is the insufficiency of our charitable aid. It is said there are too many charities, and too little organisation. We would reply, there is too little charity, and nothing to organise. The first condition of organisation is, that there must be enough subjectmatter to organise.

What is our charity? The small doles received by our poor are barely enough to meet their pressing necessities, to ward off for the moment the pangs of hunger or of cold; and who can evoke in them prudence for to-morrow, when they have not even the bread of to-day? Who can arouse a spirit of self-reliant hope in the starving soul, or incite to industry and action the ill-nourished, attenuated frame? The small dole pauperises, because it is a hand-to-mouth relief; the large gift founds new hope, starts new efforts, new activity, new industry, new work.

It gives the poor man a to-morrow; it revives his strength for a fresh start, for a new struggle, to wipe

out past sufferings, humiliations, and despair.

But whence are to be obtained the means for these large gifts? How find the capital for the poor, small though it be for each, out of the niggardly income of our charities? It can never be done, and the poor can never be adequately, successfully, and permanently relieved, while men give as they now give, fitfully, sparingly, grudgingly; never till men give the full tithe of their income to works of benevolence. Then there will be enough, and to spare; and charitable relief will mean not a mere stop-gap, but a permanent benefit to the large majority of the relieved. True, even unlimited means cannot insure invariable success, and the poor will never entirely cease out of the land; but miseries can be alleviated, and the helpful can be helped, and the falling aided before they have fallen, if only adequate means be at hand.

We Jews pride ourselves on our charity; and, perhaps, compared with our neighbours, we are charitable indeed. But even our standard is low, miserably low, compared with that which our Divine Law has ordained for us, the standard of the Tithe. We give fitfully, without system, without principle; we give as creatures of impulse. Charity should be a deliberate act, and it can only become such by our devoting thereto

a fixed proportion of our means.

It must not be imagined that the law of Tithe applies only to the opulent. It applies to all classes. Even the poor Levite who had no inheritance in Israel had to bring a tithe of his tithe. And so the poor, when they made a profit, were expected to devote the due proportion to works of benevolence. "If thou hast abundance, give alms accordingly; if thou hast

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Num, xviii, 26,

but little, be not afraid to give according to that little." The same God, who ordained the tithe, promised a certain reward—even a material reward for the fulfilment of this command; and surely no one with a spark of religion will doubt the Divine Will or Divine Power so to reward the trustful poor, who give from their scanty resources, already burdened by their own needs. These-the alms eked out from daily necessities-make charity the highest of virtues. These, though they may be but crumbs compared with the rich man's gifts, are more precious than showers of gold cast off from the superfluities of wealth. Probably with us Jews, the poor give tithe more often than do the rich; for among the less fortunate of our brethren, nothing is more frequent than to find poverty aiding a greater poverty, forgetting self in ministering to the sufferings of others.

The poor helping the poor is truly a sight that imparts a halo of romance even to sordid poverty. For who can look upon such acts of self-denial without a thankful recognition of the divine power of pity and love, implanted in the human breast, making every man, however humble, an angel—a messenger of God—to him he succours?

We live in dangerous times—dangerous because education is becoming general, and education makes men think; and thinking men ask, wherefore those frightful social contrasts which civilised life presents—the contrasts between the two extremes of wealth and indigence? Wherefore the contrast between the multitude, who live a struggling, starving life, and the few, who live a useless, aimless, luxurious life, embarrassed with riches that they know not how to spend? For who can look beneath the thin film of that skin-deep civilisation which modern society pre-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Tobit iv. 8.

sents, and not be appalled at the seething mass of poverty, suffering, and wretchedness, which seems ready to break the bounds of law, like lava bursting the volcano's crust?

Heaven has ordained that there must ever be rich and poor, master and servant, and all those grades of social rank which wealth creates. But Heaven never ordained poverty to be hopeless, chronic, and without remedy, nor meant it to be, as it is to thousands, another name for death. The rich were to help the poor, help them wisely, tenderly, sufficiently—not with the niggard hand that drops a stray copper to the starving wretch, who roams about the streets weak with hunger; nor with the half-grudged guineas, given by hard persuasion, to ill-supported charities, which leave their work undone, ill-done, half-done, for want of means—but with the systematic share, well and truly meted, of the wealth that God has given.

And if this sacred duty were honourably fulfilled by all, how different would be the world! We should not find those shocking scenes of poverty that assail the senses in every great city; those hideous hovels, reeking with filth and squalor, that constitute the poor man's home; nor the pale, emaciated forms of children. old in their early youth from want of sustenance: nor the gaunt, white spectres of men and women, wan with want and suffering, pinched and withered in the struggle for their daily bread. From those murky social swamps, where the poor wither and die before their time, springs the will-o'-the-wisp of communism, and those other godless pestilential creeds, which threaten society. In its own defence society should help the poor. It cannot last long with such contrasts as it now presents.

And in so many ways can the poor be helped, not merely helped but raised, if only there were forth-

coming sufficient means and earnest workers, and if to each worker were apportioned his fair share of the gigantic task. Then, indeed, would wealth be adorned and glorified; for the rich would have the glorious work of raising the indigent from the deep abyss of suffering and sorrow—a mission worthy of angels.

To all of us, soon or late, the time must come when this world and all its charms and blandishments will fade away from our gaze, and all our worldly hopes and aims and ends, all our ambitions, will be as nought. Nothing then will seem or be to us of value, save the small handful of godly goodly works, that we have wrought for others. In that supreme hour, how poor may be the rich, how rich the poor!

Whatever be our means, great or small, in that dread hour our wealth will be what we ourselves have stored in works of goodness, kindness, mercy to others; they will be our riches, for no other coin is current before the Throne of Mercy but deeds of mercy. To

the merciful, God will show Himself Merciful.1

At such an hour, would you have it forced on your unwilling, but too vivid, memory, that you have fallen short of your duty to the poor, that you have withheld from them their fair share of God's great bounty to yourself, that you have robbed the widow and orphan of their due, that you have withheld from God his tithe?

Then, while you have life and health and means, give—give to God the tithe which is His; for the sake of the poor, that they may live; for the sake of society, that it may endure; for your own sake, that the work of your hands may be blessed; for the sake of duty, honesty, and honour!

For "shall a man rob God"?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ps. xviii. 25.

#### APPENDIX IV

THE SANHEDRIN, AND THE ORIGIN OF THE TALMUD 1

We have already seen 2 how, at the instance of Jethro, the first local Israelitish courts of justice were established by the appointment of "rulers of thousands, rulers of hundreds, rulers of fifties, and rulers of tens," who "judged the people at all seasons." But the Supreme Court, which, later in Jewish history, acquired the Greek name Sanhedrin, is said to have had its origin in the events related in the eleventh chapter of Numbers.

"And the Lord said unto Moses, Gather unto Me seventy men of the elders of Israel, whom thou knowest to be the elders of the people, and officers over them; and bring them unto the Tent of Meeting, that they may stand there with thee. And I will come down, and talk with thee there; and I will take of the spirit which is upon thee, and will put it upon them; and they shall bear the burden of the people with thee, that thou bear it not thyself alone." \*8

Moses found the task of governing and controlling the people too burdensome for him. He was accordingly directed to associate with him seventy elders, to share with him the burden of the people. The Bible does not tell us clearly how the selection was made; but tradition tells us that it was made in this fashion:

<sup>2</sup> Page 171.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Much of the material for this Appendix was kindly supplied for the original edition by the Rev. Dr. Hermann Adler, the present Chief Rabbi.

Six candidates, known for their prudence and worth, were selected from each tribe, thus making seventy-two, or two in excess of the required number. Seventy pieces of parchment were marked with a certain sign, and two pieces were left blank. The seventy-two then drew lots. Eldad and Medad are supposed to have been the two who drew blanks, and their peculiar position is described in a later part of the same chapter. The remaining seventy were duly installed, "and when the spirit rested upon them, they prophesied."

The functions of the august assembly thus constituted were, the maintenance of order and the instruction of the people, more especially the solution of difficult points of law, beyond the powers of the tribal or local courts; and thus appears to have originated an institution which, in later times, exercised the greatest influence upon the development of Judaism

-the Sanhedrin.

In post-biblical history, the Great Sanhedrin is constantly mentioned as a body which constituted a common centre of religious authority to all the children of Israel, throughout their dispersion. Much has been written on the subject. An Englishman, John Selden, who lived in the reign of Charles I., wrote a Dissertation in Latin, entitled De Synedriis et Præfecturis Juridicis veterum Ebræorum. An elaborate Treatise on the subject has been published by Dr. Hoffmann, entitled Der oberste Gerichtshof in der Stadt des Heiligthums. Some scholars suppose that this great council did not arise until the days of Ezra, and that it was a new institution devised by this sage. But the weight of probability is against this view. It is not likely that the council of seventy elders, established by Moses, should have been merely a temporary tribunal. There had been previously a temporary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Num. xi. 26-29.

appointment of elders, who, together with Aaron and Hur, were to rule the people, while Moses was absent for forty days on the mount of God,¹ but, judging from the sequel—the history of the worship of the golden calf—these delegates could have had little influence over the people. The spirit of Moses was not upon them.

But with the tribunal of Seventy ordained in the eleventh chapter of Numbers it was far different. Moses had been so wearied by the strain of his burden, that he had begged God to take his life rather than permit him to continue in his wretchedness.<sup>2</sup> No temporary appointment would have given to Moses the necessary relief. It is not credible that the transfer of the spirit of the great Legislator to the Seventy Elders would have been effected as a mere temporary expedient, and the Bible does not mention any special exigency needing the special and temporary work of the Elders. Indeed, the whole language of the text indicates the permanency of the institution. "When the spirit rested upon them, they prophesied, and did not cease." <sup>3</sup>

It is true that we do not find the Tribunal of Seventy again referred to in the Pentateuch in distinct terms; but such an omission would be quite in accordance with the biblical style of narrative, which, while most explicit in some passages, contents itself with mere hints and allusions in others. Thus, when we find in the narrative of the rebellion of Korah, that the elders of Israel followed Moses,<sup>4</sup> there can be no doubt that reference is made to the Council of Seventy, who aided him in communicating to the people the Divine behest. Again, in the twenty-seventh chapter of Deuteronomy, where we find <sup>5</sup> that Moses with the Elders of Israel charged the people to keep the commandments, it was

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Exod. xxiv. 1–14.

<sup>2</sup> Num. xi. 15.

<sup>3</sup> Num. xi. 25.

<sup>4</sup> Num. xvi. 25.

doubtless again the Council of Seventy who acted as assessors to the great Legislator. We again meet with allusions to the Elders in the time of Joshua and the Judges, and we may readily understand that if Moses had needed the support of a tribunal to share with him the duties and responsibilities of government, his successors would still more urgently need such aid.

It is probable that the Tribunal of Seventy fell into abeyance during the reign of some of the Kings, who desired to rule despotically, unchecked by representatives of legally constituted authority. But there can be no doubt that King Jehoshaphat strove to reorganise it, for we are told,<sup>1</sup> "Moreover in Jerusalem did Jehoshaphat set of the Levites and of the priests, and of the chief of the fathers of Israel, for the judgment of the Lord, and for controversies," and he appears to have imparted to the tribunal a twofold character, by appointing two presidents, one, the highpriest, for religious matters, and the other the ruler of the house of Judah for civil causes.

When our fathers returned from the Babylonian captivity, the Institution was revived by Ezra, the Seventy Elders in all probability forming a part of the "men of the Great Synagogue." But it is at the time of the Maccabees that we find the Tribunal of Seventy flourishing in all its vigour, as the Supreme Council of State. It is mentioned in all the most important State documents. Thus, when Jonathan makes a league with the Lacedæmonians, he writes: "Jonathan the High Priest and the Elders of the nation, and the priests and the other people of the Jews unto the Lacedæmonians, their brethren, send greeting." <sup>3</sup>

1 2 Chron. xix. 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These were 120 in number, and were the first compilers of a Jewish liturgy, on which our present prayer-book is based.

<sup>3</sup> I Macc. xii. 6.

The Talmud gives full particulars of the mode in which members of the Sanhedrin were appointed, and as to the functions the tribunal had to fulfil. The tribunal was originally called Beth-din haggadol (מַּבְּרֵלִּי,), "the Great House of Judgment," but it acquired the name Sanhedrin (מְּבָּרִרִי,) from the Greek συνέδριον, which simply means "assembly," at the time of the Macedonian supremacy, the senators of that state being called συνέδροι or assembly-men.

The Sanhedrin consisted of seventy members, besides the president, Nassi (אָשִׁיאַ), prince or patriarch; and thus it exactly corresponded with the Assembly in the Wilderness, which consisted of seventy Elders, with Moses as president. Each member of the Great Sanhedrin was called to his high office by ordination (מְּמִינָה) or imposition of hands, and this ceremony connected him, through a long chain of prophets and judges, with Joshua, whom Moses himself had ordained.

The members were selected, not from the privileged or wealthy classes, but exclusively from those who were distinguished for their personal worth and high attainments. The king was not eligible, lest his opinion, backed by his lofty authority, might carry too much weight. It is stated that the moral qualifications necessary for admission to the august assembly were wisdom, modesty, the fear of God, disinterestedness, the love of truth, humanity, and an unstained reputation. Moreover, the Israelite admitted to a seat in the Great Council was required to be profoundly versed in the Law, and was also obliged to show himself well acquainted with a wide range of studies not theological, such as astronomy, physics, and medicine. He had likewise to be a good linguist, so as to be able to understand evidence in foreign tongues without the aid of an interpreter, and he was also expected to be acquainted with the philosophies, opinions, practices, and superstitions of the heathens. The High Priest himself could not *claim* to be a member of the Sanhedrin; but preference was given to him, as well as to the ordinary priests, if they possessed the necessary qualifications.

The Nassi, or president, was chosen entirely on account of his pre-eminent worth and wisdom. The senior member of the Seventy, called the Ab Beth-din (אַב בִּיח רִין), "father of the house of judgment," sat at the right hand of the president, and the rest of the Seventy sat before them in the form of a semicircle.

The place where the sessions of the Sanhedrin were ordinarily held, was the Lishchath Hagazith (לִשְׁבַּת הַנְּיִים),

a hall in one of the courts of the Temple.

The functions of the Tribunal were twofold, executive and legislative. It constituted the Supreme Court of Judicature, which tried all cases of national importance, such as cases of false prophets, a traitor highpriest, an idolatrous tribe or city, and, generally, all capital cases. It should be added that the Talmud distinctly asserts that the power of inflicting capital punishment was taken away from the Tribunal by the Romans forty years before the destruction of the Second Temple, and that it was only the Roman procurator who could confirm and execute the sentence of the Sanhedrin. This fact is an important one, as it rebuts the charge, which has been the cause of so much persecution, that the Jews crucified Jesus. He was certainly arraigned before the Sanhedrin as a false prophet, but the punishment was executed not by Jews, but by Romans, and according to Roman custom; for crucifixion was not one of the Jewish modes of capital punishment.

The legislative function of the Sanhedrin was derived from its judicial function. Like all supreme courts of appeal, it gradually built up a system of "case law" upon the broad substructure of statute law; the statute law being, in the present instance, the Mosaic Code with its traditional interpretation. Deriving its authority from the precept in Deut. xvii. 8–10, to which we have already referred, the Sanhedrin did not permit its system of "case law" to drift gradually into chaotic confusion, as is the custom in many modern communities, and notably in England; but, sitting permanently, it collected and collated ancient traditions, judgments, and decisions, gave coherence and system to them, and thus enabled many enactments of the Pentateuch, otherwise obscure, to be carried out in practical life.

These decisions were voluntarily accepted by the people. At first they were traditional, handed down from Sanhedrin to Sanhedrin, from teacher to pupil by word of mouth, codified, but not allowed to be reduced to writing, lest the traditional law which, in certain circumstances, could be modified by subsequent decisions, should be confounded with the immutable written law promulgated on Sinai. But later on, about 150 years after the destruction of the Second Temple, when the nation was dispersed in many countries, Rabbi Judah, the Prince, or the Holy, fearing that the traditions might be lost or forgotten amid the many trials to which our people were subjected, determined to reduce to writing the entire bulk of the traditional law, a work already commenced a century back by the disciples of the renowned Hillel. Aided by his sons Simon and Gamliel, and by other sages and scholars of his time, he accomplished this work, condensing the tradition into six divisions, which he styled the Mishna (משנה) (Learning).

The traditions once codified and reduced to writing, no new laws or decisions were permitted to be added

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Page 160, "Laws of Government."

to them. But, as time went on, the Mishna itself became a starting point and basis for further development and commentary; and, the two centuries succeeding its codification being a period of great mental activity among the remnant of our nation, an enormous mass of commentary was at length accumulated, which was, in course of time, collected by Rabina and Rab Ashi, and formed the work now known as the Gemara (בְּנֶרְאֵּ), i.e. Tradition. The Mishna was completed about the year 200, the Gemara about the year 500 of the common era. These two works together constitute the Talmud (בּלְבִּירְאַ), or Study of the Law.

There are two recensions of the Talmud—the Jerusalemean and the Babylonian; the latter being the more comprehensive work, and that generally referred to

when the term "Talmud" is used.

# INDEX

PAGE	PAGE
ABLUTIONS after Infec-	Balance of Power in
tion 21:	
Abraham Promise to . 60	
,, Revelation to 69	Beauty of the World 28
Abraham's Faith, Growth	Belief, the result of ex-
of	6 perience 276
Adoration Silent 19	Bible, The 65
"Adultery, Thou shalt	,, and Immortality . 245
not commit" 8	9 ,, how collated . 65, 99
Aged, Respect for the . 19	Birds allowed to be eaten 222
Ahab and Naboth 9	
Ambition, Limits of 9	7 Blessing of the Priests . 115
Amulets 14	8 "Blind, A stumbling-
Amun-Ra, The Ineffable 19	block before the" . 204
Animals allowed as food 21	9 Blood prohibited as food 219
kindness to 20	how withdrawn
" · prohibited as	from meat 221
food 22	from meat
Annihilation impossible . 24	sacrifices . 106, 109
,, George Eliot	,, to be poured on
on 24	the earth 220
Anniversaries 124, 22	Blood-eating animals pro-
Antigonus on Disinte-	hibited as food 222
rested Work 25	34 "Book, The People of
Antiochus Epiphanes . 2;	the" 103
Arba Kanfoth 1!	Booths, Dwelling in 131
"Argument from De-	Boundary-marks, Re-
sign " I, 27	moval of 162
Asceticism 18	36 Browning on bargained
Atonement, Day of 14	Reward 254
,, The Pro-	Byles, Sir J. B., on
phets on . 12	13 Prayer 118
Attributes of God 26, 3	8
Authority, Respect for,	CAPITAL and Labour,
constituted I	Conflict of 197
Avenger of Blood I	39   Capital Punishment 156
217011601 01 2201	Carlyle on Work 122
BACON, Lord, on Fear of	Carnivora prohibited as
Death 24	10 food
,, Proper notion of	Cattle, Jewish mode of
the Deity 2	slaughtering 221
0110 2000 010 010 010	303

#### INDEX

01 1	PAGE	,	PAG
Charity a habit	164.	Death, Lord Bacon on .	24
Chel. The in the Temple	179	" Horace on Con-	
Cicero's " Argument from		templation of .	23
Design "	272	., Longfellow on .	240
Circumcision	145	T manualina and	249
Design " Circumcision Cities of Refuge	156	Camana and	
City of the Dead, The	230	Chaulasta D	250
Egyptian	215		
Cloven-footed Ruminants	222	union after .	250
Commemorative Obser-	222	Spinoza on Con-	
	226	templation of .	23
Compensation for loss or	226	Debt, Extinction of	16
damage	-6-	Dedication, Feast of	23:
damage	160	Defilement and Purifica-	
Compensation for personal injury		tion	210
Commandation of the state of th	158	Design in Creation . 3,	27:
Concentrated wealth,		Unity of	I
Prevention of	168	Devil, by whom invented	18;
Confession	142	Dietary Laws, The	218
Corners of the field	165	" Moral influence of .	224
Coroner's Jury, Presumed		" Sanitary influence	
origin of	161	of	222
Corpse-trainc of Egypt .	214	Diseased Animals pro-	
Corpses regarded as un-		hibited as food	223
clean	213	Dishonest Trading	200
Cosmopolitanism of the		Disinterested Work	254
Mosaic Law	177	Dispersion, Apparent ob-	252
Court of Gentiles in the	-//	ject of Jewish	0.00
Temple	172	Distinctions, Outward .	270
of Instice Su-	-/2	Draner Prof on Freder	145
preme	T/72	Draper, Prof., on Evolu-	
Courts - Local	173	tion	273
"Covet, Thou shalt not"	173	Enne on E	
Creative Design 3.	95	EBERS on Egyptian Theft	92
Credulity not a virtue	, 272	Education, Laws relating	
Creed, Three Principles of	275	to	174
the Lewish	0 -	., in Egypt	176
the Jewish Crimes, Capital	80	Egypt, Israelites in	126
Cruolty of ancient 1	156	Egyptian Priesthood	215
Cruelty of ancient laws .	102	,, post-mortem	
Dansvers on Court		trials	215
DARWIN on Grandeur of		,, theft legalised	92
Evolution	274	,, treatment of	
David's Covetousness .	96	the dead .	214
Dead, Consulting the .	217	Eliot, George, on Im-	
" Egyptian treat-	1	mortal Life	248
ment of the .	214	Employer and Employed	197
" Jewish treatment		Envy	
of the	214	Envy	95
" Sacrificing to the.	217	Esther's self-devotion	230
Deaf, Cursing the	204	Eternal punishment im-	232
Death an incident of life.	249	possible	T Q O
" Fear of	249	Eternity and Life	188
.,	249	Estatily and Life	237

· INDI	EX 30	05.
PAGE		AGE
Eternity of God 38 Evil, Existence of 34	God, Immutability of .	39
Evil. Existence of 34	,, Incorporeality of .	40
Probable reason for 36	" Omnipotence of .	42
Evolution and Design . 272	,, Omniscience and	
Excrement, Disposal of . 213	Omnipresence of	41
Existence of a God I	" Unity of, proved	
'Eye for Eye'' 158	from Nature	ΙI
	,, Unity_of, declared	
FACE, The human 46	by Revelation .	80
Faith a result of experi-	God's representation of	
ence 276		187
" without credulity . 275	,, proclamation of	
Fasts and Feasts, His-	His mercy 187, 2	252
torical 226	wavs and thoughts	252
T	Goethe on "Chance" and "Accident"	
Atonement 140	" Accident "	231
Gedaliah 228	" on human limita-	
Tamuz 228		231
, Atonement		170
Fat, certain kinds pro-	Form of, optional	
hibited	optional	170
Fear of Death 240	Guardians of the Law,	
Feast of Hanucah 232	The Jews	103
Feast of Hanucah		0
, Purim 230	1 at angular transfer to the same transfer transfer to the same transfer trans	128
Tabernacles . 131	Hand, The, example of	_
" Weeks 128	creative design	6
HIMPHTV (DILLIPAL OG. 201	Hanucah, Feast of	232
First-fruits, The 129	Haphtarah, Origin of	135
First-fruits, The 129, Prayer of the 130	Happiness, Imperfection	
Fishes permitted to be	of worldly	53
eaten	Happy, How to be	194
Flesh and milk 223	Happy Religion, Juda-	-0-
Food, Laws relating to . 218	ism a	185
Foretaste of future re-	Hasmoneans, The	233
ward . 253	Hebrew, Why we pray in	120
" punishment 253	Hell, How invented	187
Forgiveness, Duty of . 205	Herder on the History of	226
Form, the human 47	Israel	236
Freedom, The Festival of 126	Hillel and Shammar,	103
Freehold, Limitation of . 109	Story of	193
Fringes, The 150	ine disciples of	300
	Historical Fasts and	226
GEORGE ELIOT on Im-	Feasts	
mortal Life 248	History as a science	230
Gemara, The 301 Gleaner's rights 165	,, vitiated Honesty and truth . 89,	203
Gleaner's rights . • 165	Honesty and mutil . 69,	120
God, Attributes of II, 26, 38	Hooker on Silent Prayer	120
God, Attributes of II, 26, 38	Horace on man's "last	238
Goodness, Mercy,		211
and Wisdom of . 26	_	211
	U	

Inn Canros Colomos	PAGE	T 3.3. D 111 (1 D )	PAG:
IBN GABIROL, Solomon,		Judah, Rabbi, the Prince	300
on Prayer	116	Judaism a happy Religion	18
Idolatry, Evil results of .	63	Judges, Appointment of	
" Presumed origin		first	17
	26	" Charge to the .	17:
Immortality of Soul . 49,	238	" Duties of the .	17:
" Bible hints on	240	Just Balances 91,	TO
" Ecclesiastes on	247	Justice and Mercy not	19:
Total Control Control			00
T om out all announce	247	monipatible	25:
" Longienow on	249		
" Pentateuch on .	238	KID in goat's-milk for-	
", Psalmist on	245	77.7.7	22
,, revealed by Nature		" a modern oriental	22
_ 49,	248	2 41	
Immutability of God .	39	delicacy	224
Improvement, Duty of .	139	Kindness to animals	207
Incongruous Miracles .	275	,, dependants.	19%
Incorporeality of God .	40	,, dependants . , strangers . King, Duties of the	177
Infection, Treatment of .	210	King, Duties of the	170
Inheritance, Laws of .		"Kingdom of Priests,"	
Injuries Assidental	169	The	103
Injuries, Accidental per-			
sonal	160		
" Intentional per-		LABOUR, a duty	84
sonal	157	Labour and Capital, Con-	
,, Compensation		flict of	198
for	160	Land-Laws, The	168
" inflicted by		Law, The Reign of 19,	272
cattle	160	Law of Moses, how given .	
Inspiration and Revela-	100	I ame of antiquity amed	99
and Hevela-	6-		102
tion	65		211
, lvlodern	67		211
Interchange between ani-		Lessing on the Immor-	
mal, vegetable, and		tality Doctrine	244
mineral kingdom	18	Levites, The, as public	
Isaiah on Sacrifice	III		175
Isaiah's Prophecies	262	Liberal Spirit of Judaism	181
Isolation of infectious		Life a mere incident in	
diseases	210	T34 *4 *	3.40
Israelites, The, in Egypt .	210	and Etamiter	249
Israelites, The, in Egypt .	126		237
Israel's Hope, Prophecy		" and Limb, Protec-	
and	255	tion of	156
		,, Contrivances of Na-	
Jacob's injunction as to		ture for protec-	
his Burial	216	tion of	8
Jeremiah on Sacrifice .	III	,, The future	237
Jeremiah's Prophecies .	265	Limitations to right of	23/
Jerusalem, Destruction of		Dimitations to right of	-6
Johnson on Durana	228	property	163
Johnson on Prayer	118	Limits of Faith and En-	
Joseph's injunction as to		quiry	275
his Burial Jubilee, The	216	Loans without interest .	165
Jubilee, The	168		275
			, ,

IND	EX · 3	07
PAGE		AGE
	Mordecai the Jew	232
ongfellow on Silent Prayer . 119	Moses in Egypt	72
on Immortal	,, Prophecies of	258
on Immortal Life 249	Moses in Egypt	
ost property, Laws as to 162	KHOWH	217
ove of God 184	Withinities	216
Town thy neighbour as	Murder, Trial for	157
thyself" 193 cowell on Nobility of Soul	Murdered person, Law as	
owell on Nobility of Soul 206	to discovery of	161
Lucretius on the Fear of	7	
Death 249		212 186
Death		
Indirect 201		137
"	Troat, Took Idens 6-	218
MACCABEES, The 232	Non-Israelites, Tolerance	170
Maimonides' view of	towards	179
Sacrifices I3I	a Fact of	
,, as to mixture of	OBSERVANCES of Fast of	141
milk and flesh 224	Atonement	142
Man and his position . 45	" Feast of Taber-	131
an improving	nacles	128
	, Feast of Weeks	137
" Pre-eminence of . 45	" New Year's Day .	123
,, Reasoning powers	" Callanth 82	122
ot 4/		
Manslaughter 160	homet's death	217
Mattathias 233		128
Max Müller on Changes 174		42
Mediator, A, superfluous 187		43
Memorial, Day of 137	Omnipresence of God	41
Mercy and Wisdom of		41
TOLL	Outward Distinctions .	145
Mercy not incompatible with Justice 253		
with Justice 253 Messiah, The 264		
Messian, The	7 7 7 7	134
	Parents, Duty towards .	87
Micah on Sacrifice 112 Midrash on the Divine	Education of chil-	
Mercy 251	dren by their.	175
Mercy	D-smoot of	191
" Seething a kid in . 22	Parsees The	62
Milton on the strength of	Descover Feast of	123
weakness 236		126
Mishna, The compilation	,, Samaritan	127
6.11 - 200	Patriarchs, The Work of	
Mission of Judaism . 74, 27 Modesty, The duty of . 20	the Prevention	69
Modesty The duty of . 20	Pauperism, Prevention	
Modin, The resistance of . 23	3 of chronic	168
Money-lending, Laws as	Penitence	142
to	6 Pentateuch, The	100
Moral duties 18	2   Pentecost, Feast of	128

Ί

## INDEX

D .	PAGE		
Perjury	31, 92	Punishment, Divine	PA
Phylacteries	147	Eternal, Im-	10
r ory meism, Origin or	20	possibility	
Poor-laws, Mosaic	163	Possibility	- 6
Poverty, Rights of	163	of of false wit-	18
Prayer, a means to an end	115		
,, Careless	82	ness	9
" Duty of . 114	, 190	of murder .	I
tor improve-	, 190	Purification of theft	9
ment Forms of	118	Purification of defiled	
" Forms of		persons	21
11 Inniencing the	115	Purim, Feast of	23
posture of		Purity, Duty of	20
mind . · .	0	0	
" Natural impulse	118	Quipus, The Mexican .	15
to .		D	
Danner f. IT	119	REASON, Limits of	27
,, Reason for He-	_	Reasoning powers of man	4
brew	120	" upon the in-	
The Prophets	119	finite	28
", The Prophets on	117	Rebellious son, Punish-	
,, The Psalmist on		ment of	19
II-mildon II4,		Recognition of God	II
", Unwritten	120	Reconciliation of incon-	
Pre-eminence of man	45	gruities	28
Priests as physicians	194	Red Sea, Passage of	7.
" Blessing of the .	115	Refuge, Cities of	150
" Misrepresentations		"Rejoicing in the Law"	13
Of	203	Release, The Year of	0.
The kingdom of .	103	(Shemitah)	16
Property, Protection of .	91	Relics, Worship of human	21
Rights of	162	Religious toleration	177
Prophecy and Possellation	255	Remains, Human, why	- / /
" and Revelation		regarded as unclean .	214
consistent .	256	Remembrance, Day of .	137
Prophecies of the restora-		Renan on Fundamental	0,
tion	260	Morality	183
Prophet What III	260	Report, Raising a false	202
Prophet, What consti-		Representative or typical	
tuted a	256	laws	170
Prophets, Moses chief of		Respect for parents	191
the	258	.: the aged	191
" The, on Im-	2	Rest, The blessing of	84
mortality .	247	Restoration, The hoped	- 1
Protection of life and		for	268
limb	161	Revelation a necessity .	61
Proverbs, on kindness to		" and Inspira-	-
animals	209	tion	65
Psalms, The, on Immor-		" at Sinai	74
tality .	245	" now proceed-	, т
on Sacrifice	112	ing	67
Punishment, Capital	156	,, to Abraham .	69
			1

INDEX	*	309

PAGE	1 100
Reversion to ownership in	Sepulchre of Moses un-
land 168	known 217
Reward and punishment	,, Holy (so-
55, 253	called) 217
Reward and Punishment,	Sepulchres all regarded
Foretaste of 253	as unclean 214
Rights of property 162 , poverty 163 Royalty, Duties of 170	Servitude, Laws relating
poverty 163	to 153 Penal 154
Royalty, Duties of 170	,, Penal . 154
Ruminants, cloven-	Sewage, Disposal of 213
footed	Sheaf, The forgotten 165
Ruskin on the Loving God 188	Sherlock on future re-
G 701 0	union of Souls 250
SABBATH, The 82, 122	Shophar, The 138 Silent Prayer 119
" How to keep	
the 86   Sabbath-breaking, The	Simchath Torah 135
	Sin, Natural conse-
punishment of 122	quences of 253
, The Prophets on .123	Sinai, Revelation at
Sacrifice and Confession . 110	Slaughtering, Jewish
Sacrifices, Human 113	mode of
" Justice better	Slavery among the He-
than II2	brews 153
" Maimonides' view of 113	Social duties 153
Obodiance better	Socrates on the dead body 217
**	Solemn Assembly, Eighth
Object of IIO	
of fine flour TIO	day of
Person for in-	Son, Punishment of re-
stitution of 109	bellious 192
ALL Esperantes	Soul, The 49
The first 105	,, Immortality of the
Saints, Relics of alleged . 216	49, 238
Sanhedrin, Election of . 297	Speech, Power of 46
Functions of . 295	Spinoza on the contem-
History of . 295	plation of Death 238
", Functions of . 295 ", History of . 295 ", Origin of 173	Spirit-rapping 190
Sanitary Laws 210	"Sport" not allowed . 209
Sanitary Laws 210 Scandal 94, 204	Stanley, Dean, on Samari-
"Searching" vitals of	tan Passover . 127
animals for disease . 223	,, on the influence of
Second crop, The 165	the Departed . 218
Securities for Loans 166	Statute of Distributions . 170
Seder Nights, The 127	"Steal, Thou shalt not" 89
Seething a kid in its	Strangers, Kindness to . 177
mother's milk 223	", Religious tole-
Segregation of the Leper 211	ration to . 179
Self-examination 138	Succah, The 133
Seneca on the boon of	Superstitious practices
Death 250	
200011	

F	PAGE		PAG
Supreme Court of Justice	173	Unity of design in steam-	LAG
Swearing, False 81, Swine, Habits of the	92	engine	1:
Swine, Habits of the	223	" ın Mosaic Code .	99
	223	" in Nature	J:
Syrian invasion of Pales-	3	" in Revelation	80
tine	232	of C = 1 1 f	U
	232	Nature	r
TABERNACLE, The, in the	-	Usury, Laws against .	166
	108	coury, Daws against .	100
Tabernacle, The modern	100		
10 11	133	Voice, The human	46
	131	Voltaire on the "Argu-	
	204	ment from design " .	274
	150		-,-
	301		
T 1 T 5 1 1	229	WAR, Cause of	198
Ten Commandments	78	", unmasked	199
T		Waste in creation, Ab-	
	117	sence of	19
Theft, Punishment of .	47	Weak, Triumph of the .	235
Theift	91	Wealth, Prevention of ex-	
Thrift	91	cessive	168
Tithe, The 166, 2 Toleration, Religious		Weeks, Feast of	128
	77	Witness, The false	92
	00	Work, a duty	84
Trading, Dishonest I	199	,, disinterested	254
T1	223	Wounded animals not	
Trophies of nature	34	allowed as food	223
	102		
" Permanence of as-		YEAR of Jubilee	168
	282	Release	16
Tsitsith, The (Fringes) .	151	Release	167
Typical laws	170	,,	,
Undivided homage to		ZECHARIAH'S Prophecies.	26:
God	189	Zionism, The true . 261,	268

THE END